

Appln No. 10/678,778

Reply to Office action of March 24, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action dated March 24, 2004, has been reviewed and the comments carefully considered.

In the Office action, various issues and questions are raised concerning the description of the variety. By the amendments in the accompanying Substitute Specification, Applicant has made a bona fide effort to address all issues and questions.

In view of the foregoing amendment and response, it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and, accordingly, reconsideration and allowance is earnestly solicited.

With respect to Point C in the Office Action, Applicant notes that the new 'CARMELA' variety is compared to the 'TUDNEW' variety on page 2 of the specification.

If any questions remain regarding the allowability of the application, Applicant would appreciate if the Examiner would advise the undersigned by telephone.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by this paper to Deposit Account No. 03-1728. Please show our docket number with any charge or credit to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By Cynthia A. Bonner
Cynthia A. Bonner
Reg. No. 44,548
626/795-9900

CAB/tt

TXT IRV1077457.1--06/24/04 4:20 PM